

Performance monitoring responses for Scrutiny Committee - Tuesday 28 February 2017

8. Performance Monitoring - quarter 3 (Pages 3 - 10)

Included are responses to comments made by the committee on the quarter 2 performance report in December 2016.



Agenda Item 8



To: Scrutiny Committee

Date: 28 February 2017

Report of: Head of Business Improvement

Title of Report: Response to Scrutiny Committee comments on the Q2

performance report

Summary

Purpose of report: To respond to the comments of the Scrutiny Committee on the Q2 performance report (December 2016)

Key Decision: No

Report author: Ruth Wigham, Business Development Manager

Appendices

Appendix 1: Response to specific performance summaries cited as examples of weakness of monitoring procedures in Q2 Scrutiny report

Background

The Scrutiny Committee have expressed concerns regarding the tracking and accountability in the setting of performance targets and that the monitoring of outcomes were not given proper care and attention. Specific performance summaries were cited as examples of weakness of current monitoring procedures. The Committee also highlighted that the presentation and content of the scrutiny report was not adequately accessible given that this document was in the public domain and asked for confirmation that red indicators are highlighted to Board members.

Response to Scrutiny Committee comments

- 1. We collate and present performance indicator (PI) metrics to the Corporate Management team (CMT) and the City Executive Board (CEB) in the form of a Corporate Dashboard report and an Integrated Performance report respectively. The Corporate Dashboard report is reported to CMT on a monthly basis and the Integrated Performance report is presented to CEB on a quarterly basis.
- 2. Each PI metric has an identified responsible person (typically the Head of Service) as the owner, its own individual definition and a performance formula in

accordance with Council guidelines. The owner of the metric is required to review all information received both through internal and external providers. The updater is required to input the information into the Corvu system monthly. It was noted that the use of 'council-speak' and acronyms could reduce the ease in which a member of the public could understand the report. To ensure the report is more accessible acronyms will be avoided in future.

- 3. Subsequently, the owner is required to calculate the metric using the agreed formulae and conduct a trend analysis to identify any significant variances on the results produced and add commentary.
- 4. Each measure has a year-end target, a monthly target with agreed tolerances for targets (or intervention levels in Corvu). Where they are not specified by the service they are set at 10% below target for the first 6 months and 5% below target for the next 5 months. The final for the year has no tolerance in order to ascertain if the target was achieved or not achieved at year end. This determines the Red, Amber, Green status.
- 5. As part of the annual service planning process, we will work with council services to set meaningful performance measures with robust targets that will be captured and monitored via CorVu and in adherence with our Performance Management Framework.
- 6. Based on the Internal Budgetary Control and Performance Management audit report and recommendations made in March 2016, the Business Development team are putting in place a programme of in-house data quality audits verifying three metrics per month in order to provide assurance on the quality of data being monitored, and also to seek improvements to the way they are captured or reported. This will commence in April 2017.
- 7. Heads of Service will review our performance reporting through the Corporate Dashboard as part of the service planning process to ensure that it provides robust challenge and is an effective tool in monitoring how we are performing against our corporate priorities.
- 8. The Integrated report that goes to CEB on a quarterly basis highlights and reflects on corporate PIs with a Red or Amber status. Service and team measures would be the responsibility of the Heads of Service to highlight to Board Members.

Name and contact details of author:-

Name: Ruth Wigham

Job title: Business Development Manager

Service Area / Department: Business Improvement Tel: 01865 252760 e-mail: rwigham@oxford.gov.uk

List of background papers: None

Version number: 1.0

Appendix 1: Response to specific performance summaries cited as examples of weakness of monitoring procedures in Q2 Scrutiny report

Performance indicator	Scrutiny comment	Response
BI002a – The number of	Why are the targets listed as zero? Is this an	This performance target was not showing a
training places and jobs	error? Is the methodology for calculating results	2016/17 year-end target, this was an error. The
created as a result of	consistent with the results in 2015/16?	2016/17 year-end target has been set at 470 which
Council investment and		is an increase on the 15/16 result of 466. We are
leadership		confident that we should reach this target by year
		end. We will also be looking at a clearer method
		for more accurate recording during the
		performance setting process for 2017/18.
BI002b – The number of	Why are the targets listed as zero? Is this an	This performance measure was not showing a
Council apprenticeships	error? Is the methodology for calculating results	2016/17 year-end target, this was an error. The
created through Council	consistent with the results in 2015/16?	2016/17 year-end target has been set at 15. This
investment for those who		refers to our internal apprenticeship programme
live in Oxford		which was funded through a specific investment
		from CEB in 2011. The target in 2012 was for 15 in each cohort (every two years), and was set in
		2015/16 for a target of 15 by the end of 2017.
		There was a peak in 2015/16 year to 22; however
		this was due to some extra funding for apprentices.
		and was also to some sala randing for appromises.
		From October 2017, the Government Enterprise Bill
		puts in place public sector apprenticeship targets
		where employees will be expected to work towards
		achieving a new start apprenticeships target of
		2.3% of their total headcount per annum. This
		roughly equates to 28-30 new apprenticeship starts
		per annum for OCC. This will be taken into
		account with the target setting process for
		2017/2018.

ග ග			reported in September for the following – Contact us 10%, Capita Connect outage 5% and Parking fines payments outage 3% (this was on 14th September only with no downtime since) The Digital team has a programme of redesigning webpages to improve usability. They are looking at other authorities for best practice and will be implementing changes in the next quarter.
o,	CS003 – Customers getting through first time on Councils Main Service lines	This is easier to understand than CS001, but it would be good to break down the information down into smaller sections would make it more useful, e.g., phone, email, face to face. As they stand, the comments were difficult to	The title of CS003 will be changed to "Customers getting through first time on the Councils Main Telephony line" to make it clear that this PI just relates to the telephony channel.
		understand. More important, the targets seemed contrary to the aim of encouraging customers to self-serve. Again, greater clarity and, if necessary more text, is desirable.	There are opportunities within the telephony system to encourage customers to self-serve with options available including payments, reporting missed bins and reminders about the web services available.

This relates to contact with customers, but the

difficult. What is the meaning of "Positive

wasps good?

presentation of the results makes interpretation

ratings (310) were received for the following on-

line services - Pest Control (wasps) (9%), St

Giles' Fair (7%), Pay to Stay (6%)"? Is 9% for

Positive ratings of web pages are decided by

the following on-line services – Pest Control (wasps) 9%, St Giles' Fair 7%, Pay to Stay 6%.

There were also 277 negative or poor ratings

customers clicking smiley faces for satisfaction.

Web pages can be rated as good, average or poor.

At the end of September, there were 310 positive

or good comments reported for September about

We have recorded a year on year reduction in call volumes which indicates a move to self service.

the following – Contact

CS001 - The % of

first point of contact

customers satisfied at their

LG002 – Achieve the electoral registration household registration rate	No results were presented but some initial reporting is already available from the new register on 1st December. We don't need to wait till March.	The percentage result is available and reported in January each year, not March as previously stated. The return is an annual reporting of the household return rate to the annual canvass, which starts in September and finishes on 1st December. So there is no formal reporting until it is complete on the 1st December and the report is produced each January. Any "in canvass" reporting would be the cumulative results as the canvass progressed and therefore not deemed useful. The household registration rate for the 2016 canvass was 96.2%. This measure will be set as an annual target in CorVu rather than quarterly, which was an error.
CE002 – Commercial property income received against target for the year	The target would be more useful if it took better account of the timing of the payments for commercial property income.	Following comments from the Scrutiny committee, it was noted that the target for each month does need updating / re-profiling as although it does take into account timing of payments due to the Council, it does not include the Westgate income. The target and profile will be updated to reflect this for the next financial year in the service planning process.

for minor application types	progress for current cases and for clearing up the backlog.	adverse impact upon the reported performance where these have been determined, rather than being withdrawn. The quarter 3 performances, October – December shows the improvement being achieved. During the performance setting process, we can look at splitting the target and having a separate measure to report current cases and another measure to report clearing up the backlog.
NI157c – Processing of planning applications as measured against targets for other application types	The target is too blunt, combining backlog cases as well as current ones. It would be better to have separate targets and measures of progress for current cases and for clearing up the backlog.	We have been doing a clear out/clean-up of many old planning cases as part of the service improvement process and this potentially has an adverse impact upon the reported performance where these have been determined, rather than being withdrawn. The quarter 3 performances, October – December shows the improvement being achieved. During the performance setting process, we can look at splitting the target, having a separate measure to report current cases and another measure to report clearing up the backlog.

We have been doing a clear out/clean-up of many

improvement process and this potentially has an

old planning cases as part of the service

The target is too blunt, combining backlog cases

as well as current ones. It would be better to

have separate targets and measures of

NI157b – Processing of

planning applications as

measured against targets

PC027 – Increase the number of people engaging with the Council's social media accounts	The result figure is in the thousands but the target for the year is only 400! Is this an error in the figures? In any case, it is difficult to understand what is being measured.	The year-end target was set as 420, which was an error. A social media strategy is being developed which will propose a new target for increasing social media engagements as well as a reduction in the total number of social media accounts.
		This target monitors and reports on the number of people following our various social media accounts. Until September this year, we included people following Fusion or other partners as Oxford City Council accounts. Work has since been put in place to reduce the amount of social media accounts down from 57 to a more manageable number.
BI001 – The % of Council spend with local businesses	The target is zero but the result is 40% is this an error in the figures?	The 2016/17 year-end target for this performance measure is set at 52% and was stated in the report.
WR002 – Customers supported to improve financial capability	Can we separate the impact of the benefit cap from other factors?	We can separate this performance measure to report on the impact of the benefit cap and another measure to report on other factors for 2017/18 if this is more useful.
ED002 – Implementation of measures to reduce the City Council's carbon footprint by 5% each year	Are the carbon footprint reduction targets realistic in the light of the comments made there? In other respects the performance of Cleaner, Greener Oxford is to be commended.	The result for September was reported as 36 tonnes against a year-end target of 248 tonnes. There are several measures planned for the remainder of the year and the team are confident that the target will be met by March 2017.

This page is intentionally left blank